

Extended formulations for the ATSP (with precedence constraints)

Discrete Optimization

Giovanni Righini

Based on: S.S. Sarin, H.D. Sherali, a. Bhootra, *New tighter polynomial length formulations for the symmetric traveling salesman problem with and without precedence constraints*, Operations Research Letters 33 (2005).



UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI MILANO

The ATSP

Given a digraph $D = (N, A)$ and a cost function $c : A \mapsto \mathfrak{R}$, the Asymmetric Traveling Salesman Problem (ATSP) is to find a minimum cost Hamiltonian circuit.

Extended formulations: additional variables, polynomial size, loose bounds.

ATSP with precedence constraints (ATSPPC):

- a depot in N is specified;
- a set of precedecessors $P_i \subseteq N$ is given for each node $i \in N$.

An extended formulation (Gouveia, Pires, 1999, 2001)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{minimize } z &= \sum_{(i,j) \in A} c_{ij} x_{ij} \\ \text{s.t. } \sum_{(i,j) \in A} x_{ij} &= 1 && \forall i \in N \\ \sum_{(i,j) \in A} x_{ij} &= 1 && \forall j \in N \\ x_{ij} &\leq y_{ij} && \forall i \neq j \in N' \\ x_{ij} + y_{ij} &\leq 1 && \forall i \neq j \in N' \\ \text{GP}(i,j,k) \quad x_{ij} + y_{ki} &\leq y_{kj} + 1 && \forall i \neq j \neq k \in N' \\ x_{ij} &\in \{0, 1\} && \forall (i,j) \in A \\ y_{ij} &\geq 0 && \forall i \neq j \in N' \end{aligned}$$

Depot: node 1. Subset $N' = N \setminus \{1\}$.

Arc variables x_{ij} : arc (i,j) is traversed.

Precedence variables y_{ij} : node $i \in N'$ is visited before node $j \in N'$.

Lifting the inequalities

Lift x_{ji} in $GP(i, j, k)$: $x_{ij} + y_{ki} + \alpha x_{ji} \leq y_{kj} + 1$.

$$x_{ji} = 1 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} x_{ij} = 0 \\ y_{ki} = y_{kj} \end{cases} .$$

$$0 + y_{ki} + \alpha \leq y_{kj} + 1 \Rightarrow \alpha^* = 1.$$

Lifted inequality:

$$\text{LGP1}(i,j,k): \quad x_{ij} + y_{ki} + x_{ji} \leq y_{kj} + 1.$$

Lifting the inequalities

Lift x_{kj} in $GP(i, j, k)$: $x_{ij} + y_{ki} + \beta x_{kj} \leq y_{kj} + 1$.

$$x_{kj} = 1 \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_{ij} = 0 \\ y_{kj} = 1 \\ y_{ki} \leq 1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

$$0 + y_{ki} + \beta \leq 1 + 1 \Rightarrow \beta^* = 1.$$

Lift x_{ik} : $x_{ij} + y_{ki} + x_{kj} + \gamma x_{ik} \leq y_{kj} + 1$.

$$x_{ik} = 1 \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_{ij} = y_{ki} = 0 \\ x_{kj} \leq y_{kj} \end{array} \right\}.$$

$$0 + 0 + x_{kj} + \gamma \leq y_{kj} + 1 \Rightarrow \gamma^* = 1.$$

Lifted inequality:

$$\text{LGP2}(i,j,k) \quad x_{ij} + x_{kj} + x_{ik} + y_{ki} \leq y_{kj} + 1.$$

An extended formulation (Sarin et al., 2005)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{minimize } z &= \sum_{(i,j) \in A} c_{ij} x_{ij} \\ \text{s.t. } \sum_{(i,j) \in A} x_{ij} &= 1 && \forall i \in N \\ \sum_{(i,j) \in A} x_{ij} &= 1 && \forall j \in N \\ x_{ij} &\leq y_{ij} && \forall i \neq j \in N' \\ y_{ij} + y_{ij} &\leq 1 && \forall i \neq j \in N' \\ \text{S3}(i,j,k) \quad y_{ij} + y_{jk} + y_{ki} &\leq 2 && \forall i \neq j \neq k \in N' \\ x_{ij} &\in \{0, 1\} && \forall (i,j) \in A \\ y_{ij} &\geq 0 && \forall i \neq j \in N' \end{aligned}$$

Further constraints: $x_{1i} + x_{i1} \leq 1 \quad \forall i \in N'$.

An extended formulation (Sarin et al., 2005)

Since $y_{jk} + y_{kj} = 1$,

$$GP(i, j, k) : \quad x_{ij} + y_{ki} \leq y_{kj} + 1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad y_{ki} + x_{ij} + y_{jk} \leq 2.$$

$$LGP1(i, j, k) : \quad x_{ij} + y_{ki} + x_{ji} \leq y_{kj} + 1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad y_{ki} + x_{ij} + y_{jk} + x_{ji} \leq 2.$$

The non-lifted inequality $GP(i, j, k)$ is dominated by the non-lifted inequality

$$S3(i, j, k) : \quad y_{ki} + y_{ij} + y_{jk} \leq 2$$

since $x_{ij} \leq y_{ij}$.

An extended formulation (Sarin et al., 2005)

Lift x_{ji} in **S3**(i, j, k) : $y_{ki} + y_{ij} + y_{jk} + \alpha x_{ji} \leq 2$.

$$x_{ji} = 1 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} y_{ji} = 1 \\ y_{ij} = 0 \\ y_{jk} + y_{ki} \leq 1 \end{cases} .$$

$$y_{ki} + 0 + y_{jk} + \alpha \leq 2 \Rightarrow \alpha^* = 1.$$

The lifted inequality:

$$\text{LS3}(i,j,k) \quad y_{ij} + y_{jk} + y_{ki} + x_{ji} \leq 2$$

dominates **LGP1**(i, j, k) : $x_{ij} + y_{jk} + y_{ki} + x_{ji} \leq 2$.

Symmetrically, one obtains

$$y_{ij} + y_{jk} + y_{ki} + x_{kj} \leq 2$$

$$y_{ij} + y_{jk} + y_{ki} + x_{ik} \leq 2$$