Network Design and Optimization course Lecture 11

Alberto Ceselli alberto.ceselli@unimi.it

Dipartimento di Tecnologie dell'Informazione Università degli Studi di Milano

December 21, 2011



Simple node and link protection schemes Simultaneous routing and design Lab. session

The problem

Given

- a set of nodes,
- a set of links connecting them,

(that is, an existing network), I want to

- evaluate the robustness of the network
- ... with respect to some adverse event.



Example of an adverse event: two nodes are not connected

Simple node and link protection schemes Simultaneous routing and design Lab. session

The problem

anymore.

Evaluating robustness Global min cut algorithms

> UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI MILANO

Simple node and link protection schemes Simultaneous routing and design Lab. session

Assumptions

Let us consider

- a coefficient c_e to indicate "the robustness" of each link e
- given a set of links *S*, we assume that the "robustness" of the set of *S* is

Evaluating robustness

$$\sum_{e \in S} c_e$$

and we search for the set S

- of minimum robustness
- whose removal splits the network in two

we are facing a Global Min Cut Problem (GMCP).

Simple node and link protection schemes Simultaneous routing and design Lab. session Evaluating robustness Global min cut algorithms

A very simple GMCP algorithm



Simple node and link protection schemes Simultaneous routing and design Lab. session Evaluating robustness Global min cut algorithms

A very simple GMCP algorithm

How to solve a GMCP?

• Finding a s-t min cut can be done by flow computations



Simple node and link protection schemes Simultaneous routing and design Lab. session Evaluating robustness Global min cut algorithms

A very simple GMCP algorithm

- Finding a s-t min cut can be done by flow computations
- let us consider all s-t pairs in the network
 - compute each min *s*-*t* cut (max *s*-*t* flow)
 - pick the minimum among all s-t pairs

Simple node and link protection schemes Simultaneous routing and design Lab. session Evaluating robustness Global min cut algorithms

A very simple GMCP algorithm

How to solve a GMCP?

- Finding a s-t min cut can be done by flow computations
- let us consider all s-t pairs in the network
 - compute each min *s*-*t* cut (max *s*-*t* flow)
 - pick the minimum among all *s*-*t* pairs

running time $O(n^2 \cdot (nm + n^2 \log U))$ with preflow-push flow algorithms.



Simple node and link protection schemes Simultaneous routing and design Lab. session

Evaluating robustness Global min cut algorithms

A simple GMCP algorithm



Simple node and link protection schemes Simultaneous routing and design Lab. session Evaluating robustness Global min cut algorithms

A simple GMCP algorithm

How to solve a GMCP?

• Let's select a random node k



Simple node and link protection schemes Simultaneous routing and design Lab. session Evaluating robustness Global min cut algorithms

A simple GMCP algorithm

- Let's select a random node k
- k is either on the "left" or on the "right" side of the cut



Simple node and link protection schemes Simultaneous routing and design Lab. session Evaluating robustness Global min cut algorithms

A simple GMCP algorithm

- Let's select a random node k
- k is either on the "left" or on the "right" side of the cut
- phase 1: assume k to be on the left side: for each node t
 - compute the min k-t cut (max k-t flow)
 - pick the minimum among them

Simple node and link protection schemes Simultaneous routing and design Lab. session Evaluating robustness Global min cut algorithms

A simple GMCP algorithm

- Let's select a random node k
- k is either on the "left" or on the "right" side of the cut
- phase 1: assume k to be on the left side: for each node t
 - compute the min k-t cut (max k-t flow)
 - pick the minimum among them
- phase 2: assume k to be on the right side: for each node t
 - compute the min *t*-*k* cut (max *t*-*k* flow)
 - pick the minimum among them

Simple node and link protection schemes Simultaneous routing and design Lab. session

Evaluating robustness Global min cut algorithms

A simple GMCP algorithm

How to solve a GMCP?

- Let's select a random node k
- k is either on the "left" or on the "right" side of the cut
- phase 1: assume k to be on the left side: for each node t
 - compute the min k-t cut (max k-t flow)
 - pick the minimum among them
- phase 2: assume k to be on the right side: for each node t
 - compute the min *t*-*k* cut (max *t*-*k* flow)
 - pick the minimum among them

running time $O(n \cdot (nm + n^2 \log U))$ with preflow-push flow algorithms.



Simple node and link protection schemes Simultaneous routing and design Lab. session

Evaluating robustness Global min cut algorithms

A simple GMCP algorithm

Orlin's slide 10.



Simple node and link protection schemes Simultaneous routing and design Lab. session Evaluating robustness Global min cut algorithms

A dedicated GMCP algorithm

Better GMCP algorithms exist:



Simple node and link protection schemes Simultaneous routing and design Lab. session Evaluating robustness Global min cut algorithms

A dedicated GMCP algorithm

Better GMCP algorithms exist: Orlin's slides 8, 10-22



Failure free routing Design of a failure free network Exercise: handling capacities

Failure free routing

Problem: how to design routing schemes that *still works even if k links (or nodes) of the network fail*?



Failure free routing Design of a failure free network Exercise: handling capacities

Failure free routing

Problem: how to design routing schemes that *still works even if k links (or nodes) of the network fail*?

- edge-disjoint shortest path problems
- vertext-disjoint shortest path problems



Failure free routing Design of a failure free network Exercise: handling capacities

Modeling edge-disjoint shortest path problems

Using flows to model edge-disjoint SPPs (on the whiteboard).



Failure free routing Design of a failure free network Exercise: handling capacities

Modeling node-disjoint shortest path problems

Using flows to model node-disjoint SPPs (on the whiteboard).



Failure free routing Design of a failure free network Exercise: handling capacities

Review of location problems

Given

- a set of nodes,
- a set of links connecting them,
- a set of service requests, one for each node of the network,
- a set of devices, able to provide service, to be installed in the network,

I want to

- decide where to place the service provider devices,
- decide how to satisfy service requests,
- maximizing the quality of service (e.g. minimizing delay time)

Failure free routing Design of a failure free network Exercise: handling capacities

Review of location problems

Given

- a set of nodes,
- a set of links connecting them,
- a set of service requests, one for each node of the network,
- a set of devices, able to provide service, to be installed in the network,

I want to

- decide where to place the service provider devices,
- decide how to satisfy service requests,

• maximizing the quality of service (e.g. minimizing delay time) in such a way that the resulting network is tolerant to faults in links or devices

Failure free routing Design of a failure free network Exercise: handling capacities

Problem features:

Given:

• A graph G(V, E) (telecomunication network: V = sites, E = links).



Failure free routing Design of a failure free network Exercise: handling capacities

Problem features:

- A graph G(V, E) (telecomunication network: V = sites, E = links).
- A subset *I* of vertices of the graph, which correspond to sites in which servers can be installed.
- A subset J of vertices of the graph, in which terminals are placed.



Failure free routing Design of a failure free network Exercise: handling capacities

Problem features:

- A graph G(V, E) (telecomunication network: V = sites, E = links).
- A subset *I* of vertices of the graph, which correspond to sites in which servers can be installed.
- A subset J of vertices of the graph, in which terminals are placed.
- Installing a server in each site $i \in I$ has a cost f_i .
- Connecting a terminal in site j ∈ J to a server in i ∈ I has a cost c_{ij}.



Failure free routing Design of a failure free network Exercise: handling capacities

Problem features:

- A graph G(V, E) (telecomunication network: V = sites, E = links).
- A subset *I* of vertices of the graph, which correspond to sites in which servers can be installed.
- A subset J of vertices of the graph, in which terminals are placed.
- Installing a server in each site $i \in I$ has a cost f_i .
- Connecting a terminal in site j ∈ J to a server in i ∈ I has a cost c_{ij}.
- Choose if and where to intall the servers (binary variables y_i) and how to connect terminals to servers (variables x_{ij})...



Failure free routing Design of a failure free network Exercise: handling capacities

Problem features:

- A graph G(V, E) (telecomunication network: V = sites, E = links).
- A subset *I* of vertices of the graph, which correspond to sites in which servers can be installed.
- A subset J of vertices of the graph, in which terminals are placed.
- Installing a server in each site $i \in I$ has a cost f_i .
- Connecting a terminal in site j ∈ J to a server in i ∈ I has a cost c_{ij}.
- Choose if and where to intall the servers (binary variables y_i) and how to connect terminals to servers (variables x_{ij})...
- ... in such a way that each terminal is connected to a server

Failure free routing Design of a failure free network Exercise: handling capacities

A Uncapacitated Facility Location Problem (UFLP)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min \ \sum_{i \in I} f_i y_i + \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in J} c_{ij} x_{ij} \\ \text{s.t.} \ \sum_{i \in I} x_{ij} = 1 & \forall j \in J \\ x_{ij} \leq y_i & \forall i \in I, \forall j \in J \\ x_{ij} \in \{0, 1\} & \forall i \in I, \forall j \in J \\ y_i \in \{0, 1\} & \forall i \in I \end{array}$$

N.B. variables x_{ij} take integer values as soon as they are constrained to be non-negative.

Failure free routing Design of a failure free network Exercise: handling capacities

A Uncapacitated Facility Location Problem (UFLP)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min \ \sum_{i \in I} f_i y_i + \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in J} c_{ij} x_{ij} \\ \text{s.t.} \ \sum_{i \in I} x_{ij} = \ \mathbf{2} & \forall j \in J \\ x_{ij} \leq y_i & \forall i \in I, \forall j \in J \\ x_{ij} \in \{0, 1\} & \forall i \in I, \forall j \in J \\ y_i \in \{0, 1\} & \forall i \in I \end{array}$$

N.B. variables x_{ij} take integer values as soon as they are constrained to be non-negative.

Failure free routing Design of a failure free network Exercise: handling capacities

A constructive heuristic for the UFLP

No polynomial type algorithm is known for the UFLP: for large scale problems we can use *heuristics*

- (construction) build a feasible UFLP solution
- (improvement) iteratively change the structure of the initial solution through *local search*.



Failure free routing Design of a failure free network Exercise: handling capacities

Greedy construction

An example of initial solution:

- fix a parameter k
- define pseudo opening costs \tilde{f}_i
- install k servers in the k sites of minimum pseudo opening cost
- connect each terminal to the nearest server



Failure free routing Design of a failure free network Exercise: handling capacities

Greedy construction

An example of initial solution:

- fix a parameter k
- define pseudo opening costs \tilde{f}_i
- install k servers in the k sites of minimum pseudo opening cost
- connect each terminal to the nearest server

How to choose k?

$$k = \lceil \frac{\sum_{i \in I, j \in J} c_{ij}}{\sum_{i \in I} f_i} \rceil$$

How to choose \tilde{f}_i ?

$$\tilde{f}_i = f_i + \frac{|J|}{k} \cdot \frac{\sum_{j \in J} c_{ij}}{|J|}$$

Failure free routing Design of a failure free network Exercise: handling capacities

Location-allocation procedure

An example of alternating heuristic:

- (location) for each cluster of terminals, move the server to the site of the cluster having minimum cost
- (allocation) assign each terminal to the nearest server
- and iterate ...

(for instance, this procedure is the basis of k-means clustering)



ADD procedure

Failure free routing Design of a failure free network Exercise: handling capacities

Classical ADD move:

- try to open a new server in each site i
- assign to its cluster each terminal having *i* as the site with the nearest server
- if the solution is not improved, backtrack the move



Failure free routing Design of a failure free network Exercise: handling capacities

DROP procedure

Classical DROP move:

- try to close in turn each open server
- assign unassigned terminal to its nearest open server
- if the solution is not improved, backtrack the move

Failure free routing Design of a failure free network Exercise: handling capacities

A Local Search (LS) framework:

- perform greedy construction,
- iteratively perform location-allocation procedure, until no further improving changes can be made,
- iteratively perform ADD moves, until no further improving ADD can be made,
- iteratively perform DROP moves, until no further improving DROP can be made,
- if any improving move was made, go back to step 2, otherwise stop.

Failure free routing Design of a failure free network Exercise: handling capacities

Problem features:

Given:

• A graph G(V, E) (telecomunication network: V = sites, E = links).



Failure free routing Design of a failure free network Exercise: handling capacities

Problem features:

- A graph G(V, E) (telecomunication network: V = sites, E = links).
- A subset *I* of vertices of the graph, which correspond to sites in which servers can be installed.
- A subset J of vertices of the graph, in which terminals are placed.



Failure free routing Design of a failure free network Exercise: handling capacities

Problem features:

- A graph G(V, E) (telecomunication network: V = sites, E = links).
- A subset *I* of vertices of the graph, which correspond to sites in which servers can be installed.
- A subset J of vertices of the graph, in which terminals are placed.
- Installing a server in each site $i \in I$ has a cost f_i .
- Connecting a terminal in site $j \in J$ to a server in $i \in I$ has a cost c_{ij} .
- Each terminal has a service request d_j ; each server has a service capacity Q_j .

Failure free routing Design of a failure free network Exercise: handling capacities

Problem features:

- A graph G(V, E) (telecomunication network: V = sites, E = links).
- A subset *I* of vertices of the graph, which correspond to sites in which servers can be installed.
- A subset J of vertices of the graph, in which terminals are placed.
- Installing a server in each site $i \in I$ has a cost f_i .
- Connecting a terminal in site $j \in J$ to a server in $i \in I$ has a cost c_{ij} .
- Each terminal has a service request d_j ; each server has a service capacity Q_j .
- Choose if and where to intall the servers (binary variables y_i) and how to connect terminals to servers (variables x_{ij})...

Failure free routing Design of a failure free network Exercise: handling capacities

Problem features:

Given:

- A graph G(V, E) (telecomunication network: V = sites, E = links).
- A subset *I* of vertices of the graph, which correspond to sites in which servers can be installed.
- A subset J of vertices of the graph, in which terminals are placed.
- Installing a server in each site $i \in I$ has a cost f_i .
- Connecting a terminal in site $j \in J$ to a server in $i \in I$ has a cost c_{ij} .
- Each terminal has a service request d_j ; each server has a service capacity Q_j .
- Choose if and where to intall the servers (binary variables y_i) and how to connect terminals to servers (variables x_{ij})...
- ... in such a way that each terminal is connected to a server.
- ... and the service requests associated to each server do not exceed its capacity



DI MILANO

Failure free routing Design of a failure free network Exercise: handling capacities

A Single-Source Capacitated Facility Location Problem (SS-CFLP)

min $\sum_{i \in I} f_i y_i + \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in J} c_{ij} x_{ij}$ s.t. $\sum x_{ij} = 1$ $\forall i \in J$ i∈I $\sum_{j\in J} d_j x_{ij} \leq Q_i$ $\forall i \in J$ $x_{ii} \leq y_i$ $\forall i \in I, \forall i \in J$ $x_{ii} \in \{0, 1\}$ $\forall i \in I, \forall i \in J$ $y_i \in \{0, 1\}$ $\forall i \in I$

Failure free routing Design of a failure free network Exercise: handling capacities

A Single-Source Capacitated Facility Location Problem (SS-CFLP)

min $\sum_{i \in I} f_i y_i + \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in J} c_{ij} x_{ij}$ s.t. $\sum x_{ij} = 2$ $\forall i \in J$ i∈I $\sum_{j\in J} d_j x_{ij} \leq Q_i$ $\forall i \in J$ $x_{ii} \leq y_i$ $\forall i \in I, \forall i \in J$ $x_{ii} \in \{0, 1\}$ $\forall i \in I, \forall i \in J$ $y_i \in \{0, 1\}$ $\forall i \in I$

Failure free routing Design of a failure free network Exercise: handling capacities

k-SWAP procedure

k-SWAP means:

- disconnect k terminals from their servers (update residual capacities)
- connect them back in the best possible way



Failure free routing Design of a failure free network Exercise: handling capacities

The VNS method

- A Variable Neighborhood Search (VNS) procedure:
 - perform greedy construction,
 - iteratively perform Location-Allocation, until no further improving changes can be made,
 - perform ADD: if an improvement is made go to 2, otherwise go to 4
 - perform DROP: if an improvement is made go to 2, otherwise go to 5
 - perform 2-SWAP: if an improvement is made go to 2, otherwise go to 5
 - perform 3-SWAP: if an improvement is made go to 2, otherwise stop



Combining flow and network design models Dimensioning Protection

Review of multicommodity flows

Let $f_{(i,j)}^k$ be decision variables representing the amount of flow for commodity k sent on arc (i, j). Let v represent the total cost of routing packets in the network.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize } v = \sum_{(i,j)\in A} \sum_{k\in K} c_{(i,j)}^k f_{(i,j)}^k \\ \text{subject to } \sum_{(i,j)\in A} f_{(i,j)}^k = \sum_{(j,i)\in A} f_{(j,i)}^k + b_i^k \qquad \forall i\in V; \quad \forall k\in K \\ \sum_{k\in K} f_{(i,j)}^k \leq u_{(i,j)} \qquad \forall (i,j)\in A \\ 0 \leq f_{(i,j)}^k \leq u_{(i,j)} \qquad \forall (i,j)\in A \quad \forall k\in K \end{array}$$



Combining flow and network design models Dimensioning Protection

Review of multicommodity flows

Let $f_{(i,j)}^k$ be decision variables representing the amount of flow for commodity k sent on arc (i, j). Let v represent the total cost of routing packets in the network. Let $x_{(i,j)}$ be decision variables taking value 1 if link (i, j) is installed, 0 otherwise.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize } \mathbf{v} = \sum_{(i,j) \in A} \sum_{k \in K} c_{(i,j)}^{k} f_{(i,j)}^{k} + \sum_{(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) \in \mathbf{A}} \mathbf{d}_{(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})} \mathbf{x}_{(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})} \\ \text{subject to } \sum_{(i,j) \in A} f_{(i,j)}^{k} = \sum_{(j,i) \in A} f_{(j,i)}^{k} + b_{i}^{k} & \forall i \in V; \quad \forall k \in K \\ & \sum_{k \in K} f_{(i,j)}^{k} \leq u_{(i,j)} \mathbf{x}_{(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})} & \forall (i,j) \in A \\ & 0 \leq f_{(i,j)}^{k} \leq u_{(i,j)} & \forall (i,j) \in A \quad \forall k \in K \\ & \mathbf{x}_{(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})} \in \{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1}\} & \forall (i,j) \in A; \quad \forall k \in K \end{array}$$

Combining flow and network design models Dimensioning Protection

Link dimensioning

Let $f_{(i,j)}^k$ be decision variables representing the amount of flow for commodity k sent on arc (i, j). Let v represent the total cost of routing packets in the network. Let $x_{(i,j)}$ be decision variables taking value 1 if link (i, j) is installed, 0 otherwise.

minimize
$$\mathbf{v} = \sum_{(i,j)\in A} \sum_{k\in K} c_{(i,j)}^k f_{(i,j)}^k + \sum_{(i,j)\in A} \mathbf{d}_{(i,j)} \mathbf{x}_{(i,j)}$$

subject to $\sum_{(i,j)\in A} f_{(i,j)}^k = \sum_{(j,i)\in A} f_{(j,i)}^k + b_i^k$ $\forall i \in V; \forall k \in K$
 $\sum_{k\in K} f_{(i,j)}^k \leq \mathbf{u}_{(i,j)} \mathbf{x}_{(i,j)}$ $\forall (i,j) \in A \forall \ell \in L$
 $0 \leq f_{(i,j)}^k \leq \mathbf{u}_{(i,j)}$ $\forall (i,j) \in A; \forall k \in K$
 $x_{(i,j)} \in \{0,1\}$ $\forall (i,j) \in A; \forall k \in R$

Combining flow and network design models Dimensioning Protection

Link dimensioning

Let $f_{(i,j)}^k$ be decision variables representing the amount of flow for commodity k sent on arc (i, j). Let v represent the total cost of routing packets in the network. Let $x_{(i,j)}$ be decision variables taking value 1 if link (i, j) is installed, 0 otherwise.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize } \mathbf{v} = \sum_{(i,j)\in A} \sum_{k\in K} c_{(i,j)}^{k} f_{(i,j)}^{k} + \sum_{(i,j)\in A} \sum_{\ell\in \mathbf{L}} \mathbf{d}^{\ell}_{(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})} \mathbf{x}^{\ell}_{(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})} \\ \text{subject to } \sum_{(i,j)\in A} f_{(i,j)}^{k} = \sum_{(j,i)\in A} f_{(j,i)}^{k} + b_{i}^{k} & \forall i\in V; \forall k\in K \\ & \sum_{k\in K} f_{(i,j)}^{k} \leq \sum_{\ell\in \mathbf{L}} \mathbf{u}^{\ell}_{(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})} \mathbf{x}^{\ell}_{(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})} & \forall (i,j)\in A \forall \ell\in L \\ & 0\leq f_{(i,j)}^{k} \leq \sum_{\ell\in \mathbf{L}} \mathbf{u}^{\ell}_{(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})} & \forall (i,j)\in A; \forall k\in K \\ & \mathbf{x}^{\ell}_{(i,j)}\in\{0,1\} & \forall (i,j)\in A; \forall k\in K \\ \end{array}$$

ANO

Handling protection schemes

Let us assume that each connection request from s to t is modeled as a commodity k by setting $b_s^k = 1$ and $b_t^k = -1$. In this case $f_{(i,i)}^k$ is always 0 or 1.

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{minimize } v = \sum_{(i,j)\in A} \sum_{k\in K} c_{(i,j)}^{k} f_{(i,j)}^{k} + \sum_{(i,j)\in A} \sum_{\ell\in L} d_{(i,j)}^{\ell} x_{(i,j)}^{\ell} \\ \text{subject to } \sum_{(i,j)\in A} f_{(i,j)}^{k} = \sum_{(j,i)\in A} f_{(j,i)}^{k} + b_{i}^{k} \qquad \forall i\in V; \forall k\in K \\ \sum_{k\in K} f_{(i,j)}^{k} \leq \sum_{\ell\in L} u_{(i,j)}^{\ell} x_{(i,j)}^{\ell} \qquad \forall (i,j)\in A \forall \ell\in L \\ 0 \leq f_{(i,j)}^{k} \leq \sum_{\ell\in L} u_{(i,j)}^{\ell} \qquad \forall (i,j)\in A; \forall k\in K \\ \end{array}$$

A. Ceselli, DTI – Univ. of Milan

Combining flow and network design models Dimensioning Protection

Network Design and Optimization course

Handling protection schemes

Let us assume that each connection request from s to t is modeled as a commodity k by setting $b_s^k = 1$ and $b_t^k = -1$. In this case $f_{(i,j)}^k$ is always 0 or 1. Consider two commodities for each connection request from s to t: $k1(s, t) \rightarrow$ the actual connection, and $k2(s, t) \rightarrow$ the backup path.

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{minimize } v = \sum_{(i,j)\in A} \sum_{k\in K} c_{(i,j)}^{k} f_{(i,j)}^{k} + \sum_{(i,j)\in A} \sum_{\ell\in L} d_{(i,j)}^{\ell} x_{(i,j)}^{\ell} \\ \text{subject to } \sum_{(i,j)\in A} f_{(i,j)}^{k} = \sum_{(j,i)\in A} f_{(j,i)}^{k} + b_{i}^{k} \qquad \forall i \in V; \forall k \in K \\ \sum_{k\in K} f_{(i,j)}^{k} \leq \sum_{\ell\in L} u_{(i,j)}^{\ell} x_{(i,j)}^{\ell} \qquad \forall (i,j) \in A \forall \ell \in L \\ 0 \leq f_{(i,j)}^{k} \leq \sum_{\ell\in L} u_{(i,j)}^{\ell} \qquad \forall (i,j) \in A; \forall k \in K \\ \end{array}$$

Combining flow and network design models Dimensioning Protection

Handling protection schemes

Let us assume that each connection request from s to t is modeled as a commodity k by setting $b_s^k = 1$ and $b_t^k = -1$. In this case $f_{(i,j)}^k$ is always 0 or 1. Consider two commodities for each connection request from s to t: $k1(s, t) \rightarrow$ the actual connection, and $k2(s, t) \rightarrow$ the backup path.

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{minimize } v = \sum_{(i,j) \in A} \sum_{k \in K} c_{(i,j)}^{k} f_{(i,j)}^{k} + \sum_{(i,j) \in A} \sum_{\ell \in L} d_{(i,j)}^{\ell} x_{(i,j)}^{\ell} \\ \text{subject to } \sum_{(i,j) \in A} f_{(i,j)}^{k} = \sum_{(j,i) \in A} f_{(j,i)}^{k} + b_{i}^{k} \qquad \forall i \in V; \forall k \in K \\ \sum_{k \in K} f_{(i,j)}^{k} \leq \sum_{\ell \in L} u_{(i,j)}^{\ell} x_{(i,j)}^{\ell} \qquad \forall (i,j) \in A \forall \ell \in L \\ 0 \leq f_{(i,j)}^{k} \leq \sum_{\ell \in L} u_{(i,j)}^{\ell} \qquad \forall (i,j) \in A; \forall k \in K \\ \mathbf{f}_{(i,j)}^{k\mathbf{l}(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{t})} + \mathbf{f}_{(i,j)}^{k\mathbf{2}(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{t})} \leq \mathbf{1} \qquad \forall \text{connection} \\ \mathbf{x}^{\ell} \in \{0,1\} \\ \text{A. Ceselli, DTI - Univ. of Milan} \end{array}$$

Exercises

- Implement the min global cut algorithm
- Try the link / node protected shortest paths model
- implement LS for UFLP
- implement VNS for SS-CFLP