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## Recombination heuristics

Constructive and exchange heuristics manage one solution at a time (except for the Ant System)

Recombination heuristics manage several solutions in parallel

- start from a set (population) of solutions (individuals) obtained somehow
- recombine the individuals generating a new population

Their original aspect is the use of operations working on several solutions, but they often include features of other approaches (sometimes renamed)

Some are nearly or fully deterministic

- Scatter Search
- Path Relinking
others are strongly randomised (often based on biological metaphors)
- genetic algorithms
- memetic algorithms
- evolution strategies

Of course the effectiveness of a method does not depend on the metaphor

The basic idea is that

- good solutions share components with the global optimum
- different solutions can share different components
- combining different solutions, it is possible to merge optimal components more easily than building them step by step

The typical scheme of recombination heuristics is

- build a starting population of solutions
- as long as a suitable termination condition does not hold
- at each iteration (generation) update the population
- extract single individuals and apply exchange operations to them
- extract subsets of individuals (usually, pairs) and apply recombination operations to them
- collect the individuals thus generated and choose whether to accept or not each of them and how many copies into the new population


## Scatter Search

Scatter Search (SS), proposed by Glover (1977), proceeds as follows
(1) generate a starting population of solutions
(2) improve all of them with an exchange procedure
(3) build a reference set $R=B \cup D$ where

- subset $B$ includes the best known solutions
- subset $D$ includes the "farthest" solutions (from $B$ and each other) (this requires a distance definition, e.g. the Hamming distance)

4) for each pair of solutions $(x, y) \in B \times(B \cup D)$

- "recombine" $x$ and $y$, generating $z$
- improve $z$ obtaining $z^{\prime}$ with an exchange procedure
- if $z^{\prime} \notin B$ and $B$ contains a worse solution, replace it with $z^{\prime}$ (we want no duplicates in the reference set)
- if $z^{\prime} \notin D$ and $D$ includes a closer solution, replace it with $z^{\prime}$ (we want no duplicates in the reference set)
(5) terminate when $R$ is unchanged

The rationale is that

- the recombinations in $B \times B$ intensify the search
- the recombinations in $B \times D$ diversify the search


## General scheme of the Scatter Search approach

```
Algorithm ScatterSearch \(\left(I, P, n_{B}, n_{D}\right)\)
\(B:=\emptyset ; D:=\emptyset ;\)
Repeat
    Stop \(=\) true;
    For each \(x \in P\) do
    \(z:=\) SteepestDescent \((I, x)\); If \(f(z)<f\left(x^{*}\right)\) then \(x^{*}:=z\);
    \(y_{B}:=\arg \max _{y \in B} f(y) ; y_{D}:=\arg \min _{y \in D} d(y, B \cup D \backslash\{y\})\);
    If \(z \notin B\) and \(\left(|B|<n_{B}\right.\) or \(\left.f(z)<f\left(y_{B}\right)\right)\) then
        \(\left\{B\right.\) keeps the \(n_{B}\) best unique solutions \}
        \(B:=B \cup\{z\}\); Stop \(:=\) false; If \(|B|>n_{B}\) then \(B:=B \backslash\left\{y_{B}\right\}\);
    Elself \(z \notin D\) and \(\left(|D|<n_{D}\right.\) or \(\left.d\left(z, B \cup D \backslash\left\{y_{D}\right\}\right)>d\left(y_{D}, B \cup D \backslash\left\{y_{D}\right\}\right)\right)\) then
        \{ \(D\) keeps the \(n_{D}\) most diverse unique solutions \}
        \(D:=D \cup\{z\}\); Stop \(:=\) false; If \(|D|>n_{D}\) then \(D:=D \backslash\left\{y_{D}\right\}\);
    Endlf
    EndFor
    \(P:=\emptyset\);
    For each \((x, y) \in B \times(B \cup D)\) do \(\quad\{\) Recombine to build the new population \}
        \(P:=P \cup \operatorname{Recombine}(x, y, I)\);
    EndFor
until Stop \(=\) true;
Return ( \(x^{*}, f\left(x^{*}\right)\) );
```


## Recombination procedure

The recombination procedure depends on the problem
Usually, solutions $x$ and $y$ are manipulated as subsets
(1) include in $z$ all the elements shared by $x$ and $y$ :

$$
z:=x \cap y
$$

(both solutions concur in suggesting those elements)
(2) augment solution $z$ adding elements from $x \backslash z$ or $y \backslash z$

- chosen at random or with a greedy selection criterium
- alternatively from each source or freely from the two sources
(this is similar to a restricted constructive heuristic)
(3) if necessary, add external elements from $B \backslash(x \cup y)$
(4) if subset $z$ is unfeasible, apply an auxiliary exchange heuristic to make it feasible (repair procedure)


## Examples

## MDP

- start with $z:=x \cap y$
- augment $z$ with $k-|z|$ random or greedy points from $x \backslash z$ or $y \backslash z$
- no repair procedure is required

Max-SAT

- start with $z:=x \cap y$
- augment $z$ with $n-|z|$ random or greedy truth assignments from $x \backslash z$ or $y \backslash z$
- no repair procedure is required


## Examples

$K P$

- start with $z:=x \cap y$
- augment $z$ with random or greedy elements from $x \backslash z$ or $y \backslash z$ respecting the capacity
- no repair procedure is required
- the solution could be augmented with elements from $B \backslash(x \cup y)$

SCP

- start with $z:=x \cap y$
- augment $z$ with random or greedy columns from $x \backslash z$ or $y \backslash z$ (avoiding the redundant ones)
- remove the redundant columns with a destructive phase


## Path Relinking

Path Relinking ( $P R$ ), proposed by Glover (1989), is generally used as a final intensification procedure more than as a stand-alone method

Given a neighbourhood $N$ and an exchange heuristic based on it

- collect in a reference set $R$ the best solutions generated by the auxiliary heuristic (elite solutions)
- for each pair of solutions $x$ and $y$ in $R$
- build a path $\gamma_{x y}$ from $x$ to $y$ in the search space of neighbourhood $N$ applying to $z^{(0)}=x$ the auxiliary exchange heuristic, but choosing at each step the solution closest to the destination $y$

$$
z^{(k+1)}:=\arg \min _{z \in N\left(z^{(k)}\right)} d(z, y)
$$

where $d$ is a suitable metric function on the solutions In case of equal distance, optimise the objective function $f$

- find the best solution $z_{x y}^{*}$ along the path (and improve it)

$$
z_{x y}^{*}:=\arg \min _{k \in\left\{1, \ldots,\left|\gamma_{x y}\right|-1\right\}} f\left(z^{(k)}\right)
$$

- if $z_{x y}^{*} \notin R$ and is better than the worst in $R$, add it to $R$


## General scheme of the Path Relinking approach

```
Algorithm PathRelinking \(\left(I, P, n_{R}\right)\)
Repeat
    \(R:=\emptyset ;\)
    For each \(x \in P\) do
        \(z:=\) SteepestDescent \((I, x)\); If \(f(z)<f\left(x^{*}\right)\) then \(x^{*}:=z\);
        \(y_{R}:=\arg \max _{y \in R} f(y)\);
        If \(z \notin R\) and \(\left(|R|<n_{R}\right.\) or \(\left.f(z)<f\left(y_{R}\right)\right)\) then
            \(\left\{R\right.\) keeps the \(n_{R}\) best unique solutions \(\}\)
            \(R:=R \cup\{z\}\); Stop \(:=\) false; If \(|R|>n_{R}\) then \(R:=R \backslash\left\{y_{R}\right\} ;\)
        Endlf
    EndFor
    \(P:=\emptyset\);
    For each \(x \in R\) and \(y \in R \backslash\{x\}\) do \(\quad\{\) Recombine to build the new population \(\}\)
    \(z:=x ; z^{*}:=x ;\)
    While \(z \neq y\) do \(\quad\{\) Build a path from \(x\) to \(y\) \}
            \(Z:=\arg \min _{z^{\prime} \in N(z)} d\left(z^{\prime}, y\right) ; z:=\arg \min _{z^{\prime} \in Z} f\left(z^{\prime}\right) ;\)
            If \(f(z)<f\left(z^{*}\right)\) then \(z^{*}:=z\)
        EndWhile;
        If \(z^{*} \notin P\) then \(P:=P \cup\left\{z^{*}\right\} ;\)
    EndFor
until Stop \(=\) true;
Return \(\left(x^{*}, f\left(x^{*}\right)\right) ;\)
```


## Relinking paths

The paths explored in this way

- intensify the search, because they connect good solutions
- diversify the search, because they follow different paths with respect to the exchange heuristic (especially if the extremes are far away)

- since the distance of $z^{(k)}$ from $y$ is decreasing, one can explore
- worsening solutions without the risk of cyclic behaviours
- unfeasible subsets without the risk of not getting back to feasibility (they do not improve directly, but open the way to improvements)

Given two solutions $x$ and $y$, Path Relinking has several variants:

- forward path relinking: build a path from the worse to the better one
- backward path relinking: build a path from the better to the worse one
- back-and-forward path relinking: build both paths
- mixed path relinking: build a path with alternative steps from each extreme (updating the destination)
- truncated path relinking: build only the first steps of the path (if the good solutions are experimentally close to each other)
- external path relinking: build a path from one moving away from the other (if the good solutions are experimentally far from each other)

