

# Foundations of Operations Research

Master of Science in Computer Engineering

Roberto Cordone

roberto.cordone@unimi.it

Tuesday 13.15 - 15.15

Thursday 10.15 - 13.15

<http://homes.di.unimi.it/~cordone/courses/2014-for/2014-for.html>



Lesson 15: Duality in Linear Programming

Como, Fall 2013

# Summary

- Any  $LP$  problem (**primal problem**) can be transformed with mechanical rules into another  $LP$  problem (**dual problem**)
- The relation between the two problems is a **duality**:  
applying the rules to the dual problem one obtains the primal
- **The two problems are not equivalent**: they have different variables, objective, constraints, feasible sets, etc. . .
- **But they are strongly related**, with relevant practical consequences
  - their basic solutions correspond one-to-one
  - their optimal basic solutions correspond one-to-one
  - their optimal value is the same
  - all feasible values of one are **bounds** for the other
  - . . .

# Estimation of bounds on the optimum

Given a *LP* problem in the following form

$$\begin{aligned}\max f &= c^T x + d \\ Ax &\leq b \\ x &\geq 0\end{aligned}$$

how to compute bounds on its optimum?

**Lower bounds** are provided by the **values of feasible solutions**

$$\begin{aligned}\max f &= 4x_1 + x_2 + 5x_3 + 3x_4 \\ x_1 - x_2 - x_3 + 3x_4 &\leq 1 \\ 5x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3 + 8x_4 &\leq 55 \\ -x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 - 5x_4 &\leq 3 \\ x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 &\geq 0\end{aligned}$$

$$x' = (0, 0, 1, 0) \Rightarrow f^* \geq f(x') = 5$$

$$x'' = (2, 1, 1, 1/3) \Rightarrow f^* \geq f(x'') = 15$$

$$x''' = (3, 1, 1, 0) \Rightarrow f^* \geq f(x''') = 18$$

*What about upper bounds?*

# Estimation of bounds on the optimum

Upper bounds are provided by expressions derived aggregating the constraints so as to dominate the objective function

$$\begin{aligned} \max f &= 4x_1 + x_2 + 5x_3 + 3x_4 \\ x_1 - x_2 - x_3 + 3x_4 &\leq 1 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

$$5x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3 + 8x_4 \leq 55 \tag{2}$$

$$-x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 - 5x_4 \leq 3 \tag{3}$$

$$x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \geq 0$$

- ① multiply Constraint (2) by  $5/3$  and compare the result with the objective

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= \frac{5}{3} \cdot (5x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3 + 8x_4) \leq \frac{5}{3} \cdot 55 \Rightarrow \\ \Rightarrow g(x) &= \frac{25}{3}x_1 + \frac{5}{3}x_2 + 5x_3 + \frac{40}{3}x_4 \leq \frac{275}{3} \end{aligned}$$

For all  $x \geq 0$ , each coefficient of  $g$  dominates the corresponding one of  $f$ :

$$4x_1 \leq \frac{25}{3}x_1 \quad x_2 \leq \frac{5}{3}x_2 \quad 5x_3 \leq 5x_3 \quad 3x_4 \leq \frac{40}{3}x_4$$

Hence,  $f(x) \leq g(x) \leq \frac{275}{3}$  for all  $x \geq 0$  that satisfy Constraint (2)

# Estimation of bounds on the optimum

Upper bounds are provided by expressions derived aggregating the constraints so as to dominate the objective function

$$\begin{aligned}\min f &= 4x_1 + x_2 + 5x_3 + 3x_4 \\ x_1 - x_2 - x_3 + 3x_4 &\leq 1 & (1) \\ 5x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3 + 8x_4 &\leq 55 & (2) \\ -x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 - 5x_4 &\leq 3 & (3) \\ x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 &\geq 0\end{aligned}$$

- ② add Constraints (2) and (3) and compare the result with the objective

$$g'(x) = 4x_1 + 3x_2 + 6x_3 + 3x_4 \leq 58$$

For all  $x \geq 0$ , each coefficient of  $g'$  dominates the corresponding one of  $f$ :

$$4x_1 \leq 4x_1 \quad x_2 \leq 3x_2 \quad 5x_3 \leq 6x_3 \quad 3x_4 \leq 3x_4$$

Hence,  $f(x) \leq g'(x) \leq 58$  for all  $x \geq 0$  that satisfy Constraints (2) and (3)

Any combination of the constraints with nonnegative values provides a bound

# A general bounding strategy

- 1 Linearly combine the constraints with nonnegative multipliers  $y_i \geq 0$

$$\sum_{i=1}^m y_i \left( \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m y_i b_i \quad (x \geq 0, y_i \geq 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m)$$

- 2 Choose  $y_i$  so that each coefficient on the left-hand-side is larger than the corresponding one in the objective function

$$\sum_{i=1}^m y_i a_{ij} \geq c_j \quad (j = 1, \dots, n)$$

- 3 The combination of the right-hand-sides is an upper bound on the optimum (multiply by  $x_j^*$ , sum over  $j$  and apply the first thesis)

$$f^* \leq \sum_{i=1}^m y_i b_i$$

- 4 Compute the tightest possible upper bound (i. e., the smallest one)

$$\min \phi = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i y_i \quad \text{subject to the second thesis and } y \geq 0$$

# Matrix form of the dual

The **dual problem** is the **problem of finding the constraint multipliers which provide the tightest bound on the primal optimum**

$$\begin{aligned} \min \phi &= \sum_{i=1}^m b_i y_i + d \\ \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} y_i &\geq c_j \quad j = 1, \dots, n \\ y_i &\geq 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, m \end{aligned}$$

The constant term  $d$  has no impact on the optimization process

Primal problem

$$\begin{aligned} \max f &= c^T x + d \\ Ax &\leq b \\ x &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Dual problem

$$\begin{aligned} \min \phi &= b^T y + d \\ A^T y &\geq c \\ y &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

*The two problems have the same elements rearranged differently*

# Example

Compute the dual of the following problem

$$\begin{aligned}\max f &= x_1 + 2x_2 \\ 2x_1 &\leq 5 \\ -x_1 + x_2 &\leq 2 \\ x_2 &\leq 4 \\ x_1, x_2 &\geq 0\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\min \phi &= 5y_1 + 2y_2 + 4y_3 \\ 2y_1 - y_2 &\geq 1 \\ y_2 + y_3 &\geq 2 \\ y_1, y_2, y_3 &\geq 0\end{aligned}$$



# The dual of the dual

The dual of the dual problem is the primal problem

First, put it into the required form

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \min \phi = b^T y & + & d \\ A^T y & \geq & c \\ y & \geq & 0 \end{array} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{array}{rcl} -\max \phi' = -b^T y & - & d \\ -A^T y & \leq & -c \\ y & \geq & 0 \end{array}$$

Then, apply the rules to build the dual problem

$$\begin{array}{rcl} -\min f' = -c^T x & - & d \\ -Ax & \geq & -b \\ x & \geq & 0 \end{array} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{array}{rcl} \max f = c^T x & + & d \\ Ax & \leq & b \\ x & \geq & 0 \end{array}$$

# Dual of the standard form

If the problem is in standard form, the transformation is slightly more involved

$$\begin{aligned} \min f &= c^T x + d \\ Ax &= b \\ x &\geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{aligned} -\max f' &= -c^T x - d \\ \begin{bmatrix} A \\ -A \end{bmatrix} x &\leq \begin{bmatrix} b \\ -b \end{bmatrix} \\ x &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

The dual problem is

$$\begin{aligned} -\min \phi' &= \begin{bmatrix} b^T & | & -b^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y^- \\ y^+ \end{bmatrix} - d \\ \begin{bmatrix} A^T & | & -A^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y^- \\ y^+ \end{bmatrix} &\geq -c \\ y^-, y^+ &\geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{aligned} -\min \phi' &= -b^T (y^+ - y^-) - d \\ -A^T (y^+ - y^-) &\geq -c \\ y^+, y^- &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

that is (replacing  $y^+ - y^-$  with  $y$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \max \phi &= b^T y + d \\ A^T y &\leq c \\ y &\in \mathbb{R}^m \end{aligned}$$

Equalities correspond to free variables

Similar derivations can be devised for other LP forms (nonpositive variables, “unnatural” constraints, etc...)

By “natural” constraints we mean  $\leq$  for max,  $\geq$  for min problems

# Transformation rules

The dual can be built directly, instead of using an intermediate form

Primal problem (min)	Dual problem (max)
$m$ constraints	$m$ variables
right-hand-sides	objective coefficients
$\geq/\leq$ inequalities	$\geq/\leq$ variables
equalities	free variables
$n'$ variables	$n'$ constraints
objective coefficients	right-hand-sides
$\geq/\leq$ variables	$\leq/\geq$ inequalities
free variables	equalities
$A$	$A^T$

Nonnegative variables correspond to “natural” constraints ( $\leq$  for max,  $\geq$  for min)

Both problems have at most  $\binom{n'+m}{m} = \binom{m+n'}{n'}$  basic solutions

- the primal problem has  $n' + m$  variables,  $m$  constraints
- the dual problem has  $n' + m$  variables,  $n'$  constraints

# Example

Compute the dual of the following problem

$$\begin{aligned}\min f &= 10 x_1 + 20 x_2 + 30 x_3 \\ 2 x_1 - x_2 &\geq 1 \\ x_2 + x_3 &= 2 \\ x_1 - x_3 &\leq 3 \\ x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \leq 0, x_3 \in \mathbb{R}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\max \phi &= y_1 + 2 y_2 + 3 y_3 \\ 2 y_1 + y_3 &\leq 10 \\ -y_1 + y_2 &\geq 20 \\ y_2 - y_3 &= 30 \\ y_1 \geq 0, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}, y_3 \leq 0\end{aligned}$$

# Weak duality theorem

Given a feasible primal problem and a feasible dual problem

$$\min \{c^T x : x \in X\} \text{ with } X = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Ax \geq b, x \geq 0\} \neq \emptyset$$

$$\max \{b^T y : y \in Y\} \text{ with } Y = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^m : A^T y \leq c, y \geq 0\} \neq \emptyset$$

the value of each feasible primal solution dominates the value of each feasible dual solution

$$b^T y \leq c^T x \text{ for all } x \in X, y \in Y$$

*Proof: Let  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$  be two feasible solutions of the two problems. Using the dual values  $y_i \geq 0$  as multipliers to combine the primal constraints  $b_i \leq A_i x$ , we obtain other valid inequalities  $y^T b \leq y^T A x \Leftrightarrow b^T y \leq x^T A^T y$ . By definition,  $x \geq 0$  and  $A^T y \leq c \Rightarrow b^T y \leq x^T c = c^T x$ , that is the thesis.*

**Corollary: If there exist  $x^* \in X$ ,  $y^* \in Y$  such that  $b^T y^* = c^T x^*$ ,  $x^*$  is optimal for the primal problem and  $y^*$  is optimal for the dual problem**

This is a generalization of the maximum flow and minimum cut property: in fact, they are dual problems

# Strong duality theorem

Given a feasible primal problem and a feasible dual problem

$$\min \left\{ c^T x : x \in X \right\} \text{ with } X = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Ax \geq b, x \geq 0\} \neq \emptyset$$

$$\max \left\{ b^T y : y \in Y \right\} \text{ with } Y = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^m : A^T y \leq c, y \geq 0\} \neq \emptyset$$

there exist  $x^* \in X, y^* \in Y$  such that  $b^T y^* = c^T x^*$ . (Hence, *they are optimal*)

Proof: We derive the optimal dual solution from the optimal primal solution (constructive proof).

*If the dual is feasible, the primal is bounded (dual values provide bounds).*

*The converse is also true. Thus, both problems have an optimum.*

*Introduce surplus variables to put the primal problem into standard form.*

*Let  $B$  be an optimal basic submatrix of  $A$  in the primal problem.*

*Then  $x^* = \begin{bmatrix} B^{-1}b \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is the corresponding optimal primal solution.*

*Write the dual problem, considering that  $A = [B \mid N]$*

$$\begin{aligned} \max \phi &= y^T b + d \\ y^T B &\leq c_B^T \\ y^T N &\leq c_N^T \\ y &\in \mathbb{R}^m \end{aligned}$$

# Strong duality theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \max \phi &= y^T b + d \\ y^T B &\leq c_B^T \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} y^T N &\leq c_N^T \\ y &\in \mathbb{R}^m \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Now, we show that, if  $y = (c_B^T B^{-1})^T$  is an optimal dual solution.

First, it is feasible; remind that the primal problem in optimal basic canonical form has zero basic reduced costs and nonnegative nonbasic reduced costs

$$\bar{c}_N^T = c_N^T - c_B^T B^{-1} N \geq 0 \Rightarrow c_N^T \geq y^T N \quad \text{i. e. (2)}$$

$$y^T = c_B^T B^{-1} \Rightarrow y^T B = c_B^T \quad \text{implying (1)}$$

Then,  $y$  is optimal. In fact, its value is

$$\phi^* = y^{*T} b + d = c_B^T B^{-1} b + d = c_B^T x_B^* + d = c^T x^* + d = f^*$$

Two feasible solutions of dual problems having the same value are optimal.

# Possible cases

Three cases are possible for any  $LP$  problem: Unbounded, Finite, Unfeasible

Nine cases are possible for a general pair of  $LP$  problems

But **only four cases are possible for a primal-dual pair of  $LP$  problems**

- weak duality forbids the (Unbounded,Finite), (Unbounded,Unbounded) and (Finite,Unbounded) cases:

$$P \text{ Unbounded} \Rightarrow D \text{ Unfeasible}$$

$$D \text{ Unbounded} \Rightarrow P \text{ Unfeasible}$$

- strong duality forbids the (Unfeasible,Finite) and (Finite,Unfeasible) cases:

$$P \text{ Finite} \Leftrightarrow D \text{ Finite}$$

Primal	Dual		
	Unbounded	Finite	Unfeasible
Unbounded	NO	NO	YES
Finite	NO	$f^* = \phi^*$	NO
Unfeasible	YES	NO	YES



# Economic interpretation

In practice, the primal and dual problem often correspond to complementary points of view on the same situation

- the **diet problem**: select food amounts to build a minimum cost diet satisfying nutritional constraints
- the **“synthetic diet” problem**: replace each food with a cheaper mix of synthetic nutrients, selecting their prices so as to maximize income

$x_j$ : amount of food  $j \in F$

$y_i$ : price of synthetic nutrient  $i \in N$

$$\min f = \sum_{j \in F} c_j x_j$$

$$\max \phi = \sum_{i \in N} b_i y_i$$

$$\sum_{j \in F} a_{ij} x_j \geq b_i \quad i \in N$$

$$\sum_{i \in N} a_{ij} y_i \leq c_j \quad j \in F$$

$$x_j \geq 0 \quad j \in F$$

$$y_i \geq 0 \quad i \in N$$

The two optimal solutions have the same value:  
the optimal natural and synthetic diets have the same cost

# Economic interpretation

In practice, the primal and dual problem often correspond to complementary points of view on the same situation

- the **transportation problem**: decide how many items to transport from each source to each destination respecting the source capacities and the destination demands at minimum total cost
- the **"outsourced" transportation problem**: replace the transport between each pair with a cheaper alternative, selecting the purchase price at each source and selling price at each destination so as to maximize income

$x_{ij}$ : items transported from  $i \in S$  to  $j \in D$

$y_i$ : purchase price in  $i \in S$

$y'_j$ : selling price in  $j \in D$

$$\min f = \sum_{i \in S} \sum_{j \in D} c_{ij} x_{ij}$$

$$-\sum_{j \in D} x_{ij} \leq -c_i \quad i \in S$$

$$\sum_{i \in S} x_{ij} \geq d_j \quad j \in D$$

$$x_{ij} \geq 0 \quad i \in S, j \in D$$

$$\max \phi = \sum_{j \in D} d_j y'_j - \sum_{i \in S} c_i y_i$$

$$y'_j - y_i \leq c_{ij} \quad i \in S, j \in D$$

$$y_i \geq 0 \quad i \in S$$

$$y'_j \geq 0 \quad j \in D$$

The two optimal solutions have the same value:

the optimal insource and outsource transport plans have the same cost

# Optimality conditions

Remember that feasibility and optimality are equivalent from a complexity point of view, because

- feasibility can be solved by applying an algorithm for optimality
- optimality can be solved by repeatedly applying an algorithm for feasibility with dychotomic search

For  $LP$  problems dychotomic search is unnecessary

Strong duality allows to find an optimal solution for a  $LP$  problem in one step, by finding a feasible solution for an auxiliary  $LP$  problem

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \min f = c^T x & + & d \\ Ax & \geq & b \\ x & \geq & 0 \end{array} \quad \rightarrow \quad \begin{array}{rcl} Ax & \geq & b \\ x & \geq & 0 \\ A^T y & \leq & c \\ y & \geq & 0 \\ c^T x & = & b^T y \end{array}$$

# Complementary slackness conditions

We have already noticed that, given a pair of dual  $LP$  problems

- the natural variables of the primal problem correspond to the auxiliary (*slack* or *surplus*) variables of the dual
- the auxiliary variables of the primal problem correspond to the natural variables of the dual

Given a feasible primal problem and a feasible dual problem

$$\min \left\{ c^T x : x \in X \right\} \text{ with } X = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Ax \geq b, x \geq 0\} \neq \emptyset$$

$$\max \left\{ b^T y : y \in Y \right\} \text{ with } Y = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^m : A^T y \leq c, y \geq 0\} \neq \emptyset$$

the corresponding variables have zero product in the optimal solution.

Proof: Let  $x^* \in X$  and  $y^* \in Y$  be the corresponding optimal solutions of the two problems according to strong duality.

Since  $b \leq Ax^*$  and  $y^{*T} A \leq c^T$ , weak duality states that

$$y^{*T} b \leq y^{*T} Ax^* \leq c^T x^*$$

Since strong duality states that  $y^{*T} b = c^T x^*$ , necessarily

$$\begin{cases} y^{*T} Ax^* = c^T x^* \\ y^{*T} b = y^{*T} Ax^* \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} (c^T - y^{*T} A) x^* = 0 \\ y^{*T} (Ax^* - b) = 0 \end{cases}$$

# Complementary slackness conditions

Modify the indices so that **corresponding variables have the same index**:

- the *surplus* primal variable  $x_{n'+i}^*$  corresponds to the natural dual variable  $y_{n'+i}$

$$A_i x^* - b_i = x_{n'+i}^* \iff y_{n'+i} \quad (i = 1, \dots, m)$$

- the natural primal variable  $x_j$  corresponds to the *slack* dual variable  $y_j$

$$x_j \iff y_j = c_j - A_j^T y^* \quad (j = 1, \dots, n')$$

**Corresponding variables in the two problems are complementary** (zero product)

$$\begin{cases} (c^T - y^{*T} A) x^* = 0 \\ y^{*T} (Ax^* - b) = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} (c_j - A_j^T y^*) x_j^* = y_j^* x_j^* = 0 & (j = 1, \dots, n') \\ y_{n'+i}^* (A_i x^* - b_i) = y_{n'+i}^* x_{n'+i}^* = 0 & (i = 1, \dots, m) \end{cases}$$

that is

$$y_j^* x_j^* = 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, n')$$

These conditions allow to derive the optimal solution of the dual from the optimal solution of the primal, and vice versa

# Example

Given the optimal solution  $x^* = (1, 0, 1)$ , find the optimal dual one for

$$\begin{aligned}\min f &= 13x_1 + 10x_2 + 6x_3 \\ 5x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3 &\geq 8 \\ 3x_1 + x_2 &= 3 \\ x_1, x_2, x_3 &\geq 0\end{aligned}$$

The complete optimal primal solution is  $x^* = (1, 0, 1, 0, 0)$

The dual problem is

$$\begin{aligned}\max \phi &= 8y_4 + 3y_5 \\ 5y_4 + 3y_5 &\leq 13 \\ y_4 + y_5 &\leq 10 \\ 3y_4 &\leq 6 \\ y_4 \geq 0, y_5 &\in \mathbb{R}\end{aligned}$$

# Example

The  $n' + m = 3 + 2 = 5$  complementary slackness conditions state that

$$\begin{cases} y_1^* x_1^* = y_1^* \cdot 1 = 0 \\ y_2^* x_2^* = y_2^* \cdot 0 = 0 \\ y_3^* x_3^* = y_3^* \cdot 1 = 0 \\ y_4^* x_4^* = y_4^* \cdot 0 = 0 \\ y_5^* x_5^* = y_5^* \cdot 0 = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow y_1^* = y_3^* = 0$$

Together with the dual constraints, they imply

$$\begin{cases} 5y_4^* + 3y_5^* + y_1^* = 13 \\ y_4^* + y_5^* + y_2^* = 10 \\ 3y_4^* + y_3^* = 6 \end{cases} \Rightarrow y_4^* = 2, y_5^* = 1 \text{ and } y_2^* = 7 \Rightarrow y^* = (0, 7, 0, 2, 1)$$

# Correspondence between basic solutions

We know that **two dual problems have the same number of basic solutions**

In general, **given a basic solution of the primal, the complementary slackness conditions allow to derive a basic solution of the dual**, and vice versa

- if the former is optimal, the latter is optimal
- if the former is **superoptimal**, i. e. **has nonnegative reduced costs**, the latter is feasible (though in general nonoptimal)
- if the former is feasible, the latter is superoptimal (though in general unfeasible)

This correspondence can be exploited to build a **dual simplex algorithm**, which

- visits basic dual solutions, instead of primal
- achieves first superoptimality, instead of feasibility, and then optimality

This algorithm applies different rules to select the *pivot* element

Since the average complexity is proportional to  $m$ , **the dual simplex algorithm is more efficient than the standard one for problems with many constraints and few variables**