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Lesson 10: Introduction to Linear Programming

## A diet model

In 1945, George J. Stigler studied the problem of determining the minimum cost diet for the soldiers of the United States Army.

Given a set of 77 available foods, he wanted to compute the yearly amount of each food which would have provided the adequate quantity of 9 basic nutrients (calories, proteins, minerals and vitamins).

Among the feasible solutions, he wanted to compute one with the minimum total cost.

At the time, no algorithm existed for this problem.
He devised a heuristic method, which required 10 individuals working for 12 days on hand-operated desk calculators.

They found a solution costing 39.93 Dollars/year (the optimum is 39.69).


In all diet problems, we have:

- a set of foods $F$
- a set of nutrients $N$
- a unitary cost $c_{j}$ for each food $j \in F$
- a required amount $r_{i}$ for each nutrient $i \in N$
- a content $a_{i j}$ of each nutrient $i \in N$ in each food $j \in F$

The natural decision variables are the amounts $x_{j}$ for each food $j \in F$
The general model for the diet problem is therefore:

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\min f=\sum_{j \in F} c_{j} x_{j} & \\
\sum_{j \in F} a_{i j} x_{j} \geq r_{i} & i \in N \\
x_{j} \geq 0 & j \in F
\end{array}
$$

## A transportation model

A freight transporation company must carry the production of a set $M$ of factories to a set $N$ of customers.
The productive capacity $p_{i}$ of each factory $i \in M$ and the demand $d_{j}$ of each customer $j \in N$ are known.
A maximum amount $q_{i j}$ can be transported from each factory $i \in M$ to each customer $j \in N$ at a unitary cost equal to $c_{i j}$ Euros/unit.

We adopt as decision variables $x_{i j}$ the number of product units transported from factory $i \in M$ to customer $j \in N$
The general model for the transportation problem is:

$$
\begin{array}{cr}
\min f=\sum_{i \in M} \sum_{j \in N} c_{i j} x_{i j} & \\
\sum_{j \in N} x_{i j} \leq p_{i} & \\
\sum_{i \in M} x_{i j} \geq d_{j} & j \in M \\
0 \leq x_{j} \leq q_{i j} & i \in M, j \in N
\end{array}
$$

## Linear Programming: fundamental assumptions

All these models fall into the framework of Linear Programming (LP)
They can be immediately recognized from the following assumptions:
(1) divisibility: the decision variables can assume any fractional value
(2) linearity: the objective and the constraint functions satisfy

- proportionality: each decision variable $x_{j}$ gives a contribution proportional to its value: $c_{j} x_{j}$
- additivity: the contributions of the decision variables are summed

$$
\sum_{j \in N} c_{j} x_{j}
$$

except for a suitable constant term (all functions involved are affine)

$$
\sum_{j \in N} c_{j} x_{j}+d
$$

## Algebraic and matrix form

Since

- the objective function $f(x)$ is affine: $f(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} x_{j}+d$
- the constraint functions $g_{i}(x)$ are affine: $g_{i}(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} x_{j}+b_{i}$ the general mathematical programming form reduces to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\min f= & \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} x_{j}+d \\
& \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} x_{j}+b_{i} \leq 0
\end{aligned} \quad i=1, \ldots, m
$$

or to the compact matrix form with a decision variable vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\min f= & c^{\top} x+d \\
& A x+b \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, d \in \mathbb{R}, A \in \mathbb{R}^{m, n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$

However, LP problems are commonly represented in the standard form:

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\min f= & \\
\sum_{j \in N} c_{j} x_{j} & \\
\sum_{j \in N} a_{i j} x_{j}=b_{i} & i \in N \\
x_{j} \geq 0 & j \in N
\end{array}
$$

where

- the objective function $f$ is minimized
- all constraints are equalities
- all decision variables are nonnegative

What if the problem has not this form?

## Reduction into standard form

Any LP problem can be reduced to an equivalent problem in standard form applying the following transformations
(1) maximization is turned into minimization reverting the objective's sign

$$
\max f(x)=c^{T} x \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \min \hat{f}(x)=\hat{c}^{T} x \text { with } \hat{c}=-c
$$

(2) inequalities are turned into equalities introducing nonnegative variables

- a slack variable $s_{i}$ for each $\leq$ inequality

$$
a_{i}^{T} x \leq b_{i} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_{i}^{T} x+s_{i}=b_{i} \\
s_{i} \geq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

- a surplus variable $s_{i}$ for each $\geq$ inequality

$$
a_{i}^{T} x \geq b_{i} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_{i}^{T} x-s_{i}=b_{i} \\
s_{i} \geq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

(3) unrestricted variables are replaced by nonnegative ones (each unrestricted variable is the difference of two nonnegative variables)

$$
x_{j} \in \mathbb{R} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad x_{j} \rightarrow x_{j}^{+}-x_{j}^{-} \text {with } x_{j}^{+} \geq 0 \text { and } x_{j}^{-} \geq 0
$$

(alternatively, use an equality constraint to determine the expression of $x_{j}$ in terms of the other variables and replace $x_{j}$ with that expression)

## Example

Reduce the following LP problem into standard form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max f=2 x_{1}-3 x_{2} & \\
4 x_{1}-7 x_{2} & \leq 5 \\
6 x_{1}-2 x_{2} & \geq 4 \\
x_{1} & \geq 0 \\
x_{2} & \in \mathbb{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

1) Turn maximization into minimization: $\hat{f}=-f=-2 x_{1}+3 x_{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\min \hat{f}=-2 x_{1}+3 x_{2} & \\
4 x_{1}-7 x_{2} & \leq 5 \\
6 x_{1}-2 x_{2} & \geq 4 \\
x_{1} & \geq 0 \\
x_{2} & \in \mathbb{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
\min \hat{f}=-2 x_{1}+3 x_{2} & \\
4 x_{1}-7 x_{2} & \leq 5 \\
6 x_{1}-2 x_{2} & \geq 4 \\
x_{1} & \geq 0 \\
x_{2} & \in \mathbb{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

2) Turn the inequalities into equalities introducing a new slack variable $s_{1}\left(x_{3}\right)$ and a new surplus variable $s_{2}\left(x_{4}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\min \hat{f}=-2 x_{1}+3 x_{2} & \\
4 x_{1}-7 x_{2}+s_{1} & =5 \\
6 x_{1}-2 x_{2}-s_{2} & =4 \\
x_{1} & \geq 0 \\
x_{2} & \in \mathbb{R} \\
s_{1} & \geq 0 \\
s_{2} & \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min \hat{f}=-2 x_{1}+3 x_{2} \\
& 4 x_{1}-7 x_{2}+x_{3}=5 \\
& 6 x_{1}-2 x_{2}+x_{4}=4 \\
& x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{4} \geq 0 \\
& x_{2} \in \mathbb{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

3a) Turn the unrestricted variable into a difference of nonnegative variables:

$$
x_{2}=x_{2}^{+}-x_{2}^{-}=x_{5}-x_{6}\left(x_{5}=x_{2}^{+} \text {and } x_{6}=x_{2}^{-}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\min \hat{f}=-2 x_{1}+3\left(x_{2}^{+}-x_{2}^{-}\right) & \\
4 x_{1}-7\left(x_{2}^{+}-x_{2}^{-}\right)+x_{3} & =5 \\
6 x_{1}-2\left(x_{2}^{+}-x_{2}^{-}\right)-x_{4} & =4 \\
x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{4} & \geq 0 \\
x_{2}^{+}, x_{2}^{-} & \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min \hat{f}=-2 x_{1}+3 x_{5}-3 x_{6} \\
& 4 x_{1}+x_{3}-7 x_{5}+7 x_{6}=5 \\
& 6 x_{1}-x_{4}-2 x_{5}+2 x_{6}=4 \\
& x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min \hat{f}=-2 x_{1}+3 x_{2} \\
& 4 x_{1}-7 x_{2}+x_{3}=5 \\
& 6 x_{1}-2 x_{2}+x_{4}=4 \\
& x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{4} \geq 0 \\
& x_{2} \in \mathbb{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

3b) Alternatively, replace the unrestricted variables with an expression derived from an equality (for example, the second: $x_{2}=3 x_{1}-x_{4} / 2-2$ ):

$$
\begin{array}{rr}
\min \hat{f}=-2 x_{1}+3 \cdot\left(3 x_{1}-x_{4} / 2-2\right) & \min \hat{f}=7 x_{1}-3 / 2 x_{4}-6 \\
4 x_{1}-7 \cdot\left(3 x_{1}-x_{4} / 2-2\right)+x_{3}=5 & -17 x_{1}+x_{3}+7 / 2 x_{4}=-9 \\
x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{4} \geq 0 & x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{4} \geq 0
\end{array}
$$

The first equality provides a different, but equivalent, standard form

## Other transformations

We shall see that any $L P$ problem also admits other special forms, which allow only $\leq$ inequalities or only $\geq$ inequalities

They can be obtained, as well, by simple algebraic transformations
\(\left.\begin{array}{c|c|c}\leq form \& =form \& \geq form <br>
\hline a x \leq b \& \left\{\begin{array}{l}a x+s=b <br>

s \geq 0\end{array}\right. \& -a x \geq-b\end{array}\right]\)\begin{tabular}{cc}
$a x=b$ <br>
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}a x \leq b \\
-a x \leq-b\end{array}\right.$ \& $\left\{\begin{array}{l}a x \geq b \\
-a x \geq-b\end{array}\right.$ <br>
\hline$-a x \leq-b$ \& $\left\{\begin{array}{l}a x-s=b \\
s \geq 0\end{array}\right.$ <br>

\hline | $a x \geq b$ |
| :--- |

\end{tabular}

Two $L P$ problems are equivalent when corresponding solutions have

- the same feasibility status: both feasible or both unfeasible
- the same objective value, possibly except for a constant offset

